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## PART 1

- This lecture studies one of the most important concepts underpinning many applications of speech processing, namely LPC
- Concept of Linear Prediction
- Derivation of Linear Prediction Equations
- Autocorrelation method of LPC
- Interpretation of LPC filter as a spectral whitener


## Concept of Linear Prediction



- $u(n)$ volume flow at the glottis
- $u_{l}(n)$ volume flow at the lips
- $s(n)$ pressure at the microphone
- $V(z)=\frac{G z^{-p / 2}}{1-\sum_{j=1}^{p} a_{j} z^{-j}}=\frac{G z^{-p / 2}}{A(z)}$ vocal tract transfer function
- $R(z)=1-z^{-1} \quad$ lip radiation model
- The aim of Linear Prediction Analysis (LPC) is to estimate $V(z)$ from the speech signal $s(n)$.
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## Notes

- We will neglect the pure delay term $z^{-1 / 2 p}$ in the numerator of $V(z)$.
- $50 \%$ of the world puts a + sign in the denominator of $V(z)$ (this is almost essential when using MATLAB).

$$
V(z)=\frac{G z^{-p / 2}}{1-\sum_{j=1}^{p} a_{j} z^{-j}}
$$

## Preview ... in straightforward terms

- Predict sample $s(n)$ from samples $s(n-1), s(n-2), \ldots, s(n-p)$
- Consider prediction of 4 samples from their previous 2

$$
\begin{aligned}
& s(2)=a_{1} s(1)+a_{2} s(0) \\
& s(3)=a_{1} s(2)+a_{2} s(1) \\
& s(4)=a_{1} s(3)+a_{2} s(2) \\
& s(5)=a_{1} s(4)+a_{2} s(3)
\end{aligned}
$$

- This is an overdetermined system of simultaneous equations
- If we try to predict only 2 samples then exact solution for the coefficients can be found
- Otherwise we consider a least squares solution
- Call the prediction $\hat{s}(n)$
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- Important points to consider in determining the least squares solution
- The frame $\{F\}$ of samples over which to solve
- Method of solution
- Formulate the linear algebra problem in the form $\mathrm{Xa}=\mathrm{b}$
- Solve by matrix inversion
- These issue are the main points to discuss in this talk
- What should $p$ be to predict successfully:
- A sinusoid?
- Voiced speech?
- Unvoiced speech?
- The stock market?
- Think of LPC as capturing the harmonic content of a signal.
- Anything not harmonic is unpredictable and gives a prediction error.
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## Linearity



- We can reverse the order of $V(z)$ and $R(z)$ since both are linear and $V(z)$ doesn't change substantially during the impulse response of $R(z)$ or viceversa:



## Prediction Error

$$
s(n)=G u^{\prime}(n)+\sum_{j=1}^{p} a_{j} s(n-j)
$$

- If the vocal tract resonances have high gain, the second term will dominate:

$$
s(n) \approx \sum_{j=1}^{p} a_{j} s(n-j)
$$

- The right hand side of this expression is a prediction of $s(n)$ as a linear sum of past speech samples. Define the prediction error at sample $n$ as

$$
e(n)=s(n)-\sum_{j=1}^{p} a_{j} s(n-j)=s(n)-a_{1} s(n-1)-a_{2} s(n-2)-\ldots-a_{p} s(n-p)
$$

- In terms of z-transforms

$$
E(z)=S(z) A(z)
$$
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## Error Minimization

- Given a frame of speech $\{F\}$, we would like to find the values $a_{i}$ that minimize

$$
\begin{equation*}
Q_{E}=\sum_{n \in\{F\}} e^{2}(n) \tag{1}
\end{equation*}
$$

- To do so, we differentiate w.r.t each $a_{i}$

$$
\frac{\partial Q_{E}}{\partial a_{i}}=\sum_{n \in\{F\}} \frac{\partial\left(e^{2}(n)\right)}{\partial a_{i}}=\sum_{n \in\{F\}} 2 e(n) \frac{\partial e(n)}{\partial a_{i}}=-\sum_{n \in\{F\}} 2 e(n) s(n-i)
$$
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- The optimum values of $a_{i}$ must satisfy $p$ equations:

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \sum_{n \in\{F\}} e(n) s(n-i)=0 \quad \text { for } \quad i=1, \ldots, p \\
\Rightarrow & \sum_{n \in\{F\}}\left(s(n) s(n-i)-\sum_{j=1}^{p} a_{j} s(n-j) s(n-i)\right)=0 \quad \text { for } \quad i=1, \ldots, p \\
\Rightarrow & \sum_{j=1}^{p} a_{j} \sum_{n \in\{F\}} s(n-j) s(n-i)=\sum_{n \in\{F\}} s(n) s(n-i) \\
\Rightarrow & \sum_{j=1}^{p} \phi_{i j} a_{j}=\phi_{i 0} \quad \text { where } \quad \phi_{i j}=\sum_{n \in\{F\}} s(n-i) s(n-j)
\end{aligned}
$$

- which can be written in matrix form

$$
\Phi \mathbf{a}=\mathbf{c} \Rightarrow \mathbf{a}=\Phi^{-1} \mathbf{c} \quad \text { providing } \Phi^{-1} \text { exists }
$$

- the matrix $\Phi$ is symmetric and positive semi-definite.


## Matrices with Special Properties

- Symmetric:

$$
\phi_{j i}=\phi_{i j} \Leftrightarrow \Phi^{T}=\Phi
$$

- Positive Definite: for a real symmetric matrix $\Phi$

$$
\sum_{i, j} x_{i} \phi_{i j} x_{j}>0 \Leftrightarrow \mathbf{x}^{T} \Phi \mathbf{x}>0 \quad \text { for any real vector } \mathbf{x} \neq 0
$$

- There exists a unique lower triangular matrix $\mathbf{L}$ such that $\Phi=\mathbf{L} \mathbf{L}^{T}$
- Cholesky factorization
- Positive Semi-Definite: as above but with $\geq$

$$
\sum_{i, j} x_{i} \phi_{i j} x_{j} \geq 0 \Leftrightarrow \mathbf{x}^{T} \Phi \mathbf{x} \geq 0 \quad \text { for any real vector } \mathbf{x} \neq 0
$$

- Toeplitz: constant diagonals

$$
\phi_{i+1, j+1}=\phi_{i j}=f(i-j)
$$

Imperial College London

## Inverting Matrices

- Any special properties possessed by a matrix can be used when inverting it in order to:
- reduce the computation time
- improve the accuracy

Matrix ( $p \times p$ )
Computation
General
Symmetric, +ve definite
Toeplitz, Symmetric, + ve definite
$\propto p^{3}$
$\alpha^{1 / 2} p^{3}$
$\alpha p^{2}$

## Frame-based Processing

- Consider frame-based processing of a speech signal
- Extract a set of frames of the speech signal employing a tapered window of duration $20-30 \mathrm{~ms}$ typically overlapping by $50 \%$


Frame Number $\qquad$
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## Autocorrelation LPC

- Take $\{F\}$ in equation [1] to be of infinite extent

$$
\phi_{i j}=\sum_{n=-\infty}^{+\infty} s(n-i) s(n-j)
$$



- Because of the symmetry and the infinite sum, we have

$$
\phi_{i j}=\phi_{|i-j|, 0}=R_{|i-j|}
$$

- where the sequence $R_{k}$ is the autocorrelation of the windowed speech
- The matrix $\boldsymbol{\Phi}$ is now Toeplitz (constant diagonals) and the equations

$$
\Phi \mathbf{a}=\mathbf{c}
$$

are called the Yule-Walker equations.

- Inverting a symmetric, positive definite, Toeplitz $p \times p$ matrix takes $\mathrm{O}\left(p^{2}\right)$ operations instead of the normal $\mathrm{O}\left(p^{3}\right)$. Inversion procedure is known as the Levinson or Levinson-Durbin algorithm.

Imperial College London

## Autocorrelation LPC example: /a/ from "father"

$s(n)$

$e(n)$
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## Resulting Spectra and Poles



## Spectral Flatness

- Autocorrelation LPC finds the filter of the form

$$
A(z)=1-a_{1} z^{-1}-\ldots-a_{p} z^{-p}
$$

that minimizes the energy of the prediction error.

- We will show that we can also interpret this in terms of flattening the spectrum of the error signal
- Define the normalized power spectrum of the prediction error signal e(n)

$$
P_{E}(\omega)=\frac{\left|E\left(e^{j \omega}\right)\right|^{2}}{Q_{E}} \quad Q_{E}=\sum e^{2}(n)=\frac{1}{2 \pi} \int_{\omega=0}^{2 \pi}\left|E\left(e^{j \omega}\right)\right|^{2} d \omega
$$

- where $E(z)$ is the $z$-transform of the signal and $Q_{E}$ is the signal energy. The average value of $P_{E}$ is equal to 1 .
- We define the spectral roughness of the signal as:

$$
R_{E}=\frac{1}{2 \pi} \int_{\omega=0}^{2 \pi} P_{E}(\omega)-1-\log \left(P_{E}(\omega)\right) d \omega
$$

- $R_{E}$ is similar to the variance of $P_{E}$ since
- the integrand is similar to $1 / 2\left(P_{E}-1\right)^{2}$ where mean $\left(P_{E}\right)=1$.
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- We can find an alternative expression for $R_{E}$

$$
\begin{aligned}
R_{E} & =\frac{1}{2 \pi} \int_{\omega=0}^{2 \pi} P_{E}(\omega)-1-\log \left(P_{E}(\omega)\right) d \omega \\
& =\frac{1}{2 \pi} \int_{\omega=0}^{2 \pi}-\log \left(P_{E}(\omega)\right) d \omega \text { since } \int P_{E}(\omega) d \omega=1 \\
& =\log \left(Q_{E}\right)-\frac{1}{2 \pi} \int_{\omega=0}^{2 \pi} \log \left(\left|E\left(e^{j \omega}\right)\right|^{2}\right) d \omega
\end{aligned}
$$

- Thus the spectral roughness of a signal equals the difference between its log energy and the average of its log energy spectrum.
- We know that $E(z)=S(z) \times A(z)$, hence

$$
\log \left(\left|E\left(e^{j \omega}\right)\right|^{2}\right)=\log \left(\left|S\left(e^{j \omega}\right)\right|^{2}\right)+\log \left(\left|A\left(e^{j \omega}\right)\right|^{2}\right)
$$

- Substituting this in the expression for $R_{E}$ gives

$$
\begin{aligned}
R_{E} & =\log \left(Q_{E}\right)-\frac{1}{2 \pi} \int_{\omega=0}^{2 \pi} \log \left(\left|E\left(e^{j \omega}\right)\right|^{2}\right) d \omega \\
& =\log \left(Q_{E}\right)-\frac{1}{2 \pi} \int_{\omega=0}^{2 \pi} \log \left(\left|S\left(e^{j \omega}\right)\right|^{2}\right) d \omega-\frac{1}{2 \pi} \int_{\omega=0}^{2 \pi} \log \left(\left|A\left(e^{j \omega}\right)\right|^{2}\right) d \omega
\end{aligned}
$$

- We saw in the section on filter properties that the term involving $A$ is zero since $a_{0}=1$ and all roots of $A$ lie in the unit circle. Hence

$$
R_{E}=\log \left(Q_{E}\right)-\frac{1}{2 \pi} \int_{\omega=0}^{2 \pi} \log \left(\left|S\left(e^{j \omega}\right)\right|^{2}\right) d \omega
$$

- The term involving $S$ is independent of $A$. It follows that if $A$ is chosen to minimize $Q_{E}$, it will also minimize $R_{E}$, the spectral roughness of $e(n)$. The filter $A(z)$ is a whitening filter because it makes the spectrum flatter. Imperial College London


## Example

- These two graphs show a windowed speech signal, /a/, and the error signal after filtering by $A(z)$
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- These graphs show the log energy spectrum of each signal
- The two horizontal lines on each graph are the mean value (same for both graphs) and the log of the total energy.
- The spectral roughness is the difference between the two
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## PART 2

- In this lecture, we look at further elements under the general heading of Linear Prediction
- Covariance method of LPC
- Preemphasis
- Closed Phase Covariance LPC
- Alternative LPC parameter sets:
- Pole positions
- Reflection Coefficients
- Log Area Ratios
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## Variants of LPC

We consider two variants of LPC analysis which differ only in their choice of speech frame, $\{F\}$

- Autocorrelation LPC Analysis
- Requires a windowed signal
- tradeoff between spectral resolution and time resolution
- Requires $>20 \mathrm{~ms}$ of data
- Has a fast algorithm because $\Phi$ is toeplitz
- Guarantees a stable filter $V(z)$
- Covariance LPC Analysis (Prony's method)
- No windowing required
- Gives infinite spectral resolution
- Requires $>2 \mathrm{~ms}$ of data
- Slower algorithm because $\Phi$ is not Toeplitz
- Sometimes gives an unstable filter $V(z)$
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## Covariance LPC

- Already seen that $\sum_{j=1}^{p} \phi_{i j} a_{j}=\phi_{i 0} \quad$ where $\quad \phi_{i j}=\sum_{n \in\{F\}} s(n-i) s(n-j)$
- Now we chose $\{F\}$ to be a finite segment of speech:

$$
\{F\}=s(n) \text { for } 0 \leq n \leq(N-1)
$$

then we have:

$$
\phi_{i j}=\sum_{n=0}^{N-1} s(n-i) s(n-j)
$$

- The matrix $\Phi$ is still symmetric but is no longer Toeplitz
- Since the matrix is not Toeplitz, the computation involved in inverting $\Phi$ is $\propto p^{3}$ rather than $\mathrm{p}^{2}$ and so takes longer
- Covariance LPC generally gives better results than Autocorrelation LPC but is more sensitive to the precise position of the frame in relation to the vocal fold closures.
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## Recursive Computation

- The entire matrix $\Phi$ can be calculated recursively from its first row or column.

$$
\begin{aligned}
\phi_{i j} & =\sum_{n=-1}^{N-2} s(n-i+1) s(n-j+1) \\
& =s(-i) s(-j)-s(N-i) s(N-j)+\sum_{n=0}^{N-1} s(n-i+1) s(n-j+1) \\
& =s(-i) s(-j)-s(N-i) s(N-j)+\phi_{i-1, j-1}
\end{aligned}
$$

## Unstable Poles

- Covariance LPC does not necessarily give a stable filter $V(z)$
- (though it usually does).
- We can force stability by replacing an unstable pole at $z=p$ by a stable one at $z=1 / p^{*}$
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- As we have seen in the section on filter properties, reflecting a pole in the unit circle leaves the magnitude response unchanged except for multiplying by a constant (equal to the magnitude of the pole).
- spectral flattening property of LPC is unaltered by this pole reflection.
- Discovering which poles lie outside the unit circle is quite expensive
- this is a further computational disadvantage of covariance LPC.


## Pre-emphasis

- The matrix $\Phi$ is always non-singular, but not necessarily by very much.
- A measure of how close a matrix is to being singular is given by its condition number
- for a symmetric + ve definite matrix, this is the ratio of its largest to its smallest eigenvalue.
- For large $p$, the condition number of $\Phi$ tends to the ratio $S_{\max }(\omega) / S_{\text {min }}(\omega)$.
- We can thus improve the numerical properties of the LPC analysis procedure by flattening the speech spectrum before calculating matrix $\Phi$.
- For voiced speech, the input to $V(z)$ is $u_{g}{ }^{\prime}(n)$ whose spectrum falls off at high frequencies at around $-6 \mathrm{~dB} /$ octave
- This can be compensated with a 1 st-order high-pass filter with a zero near $z=1$

$$
P(z)=1-\alpha z^{-1}
$$
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- $P(z)$ is approximately a differentiator
- The normalised corner frequency of $P(z)$ is approximately $(1-\mathrm{a}) / 2 \pi$
- This is typically placed in the range 0 to 150 Hz .
- From a spectral flatness point of view, the optimum value of a is $\phi_{10} / \phi_{00}$ (obtained from autocorrelation LPC with $p=1$ ).



## Closed-phase Covariance LPC

- We have already seen that $s(n)=G u^{\prime}(n)+\sum_{i=1}^{p} a_{j} s(n-j)$
- We have neglected the term $G u^{\prime}(n)$ because we don't know what it is and it is assumed to be much smaller than the second term
- If we knew when the vocal folds were closed, we could restrict $\{F\}$ to those particular intervals. We can estimate the times of vocal fold closure in two ways
- Looking for spikes in the $e(n)$ signal
- Using a Laryngograph (or Electroglottograph or EGG): this instrument measures the radio-frequency conductance across the larynx.
- Conductance $\propto$ Vocal fold contact area.
- Accurate but inconvenient.
- In Closed-Phase LPC, we choose our analysis interval $\{F\}$ to consist of one or more closed phase intervals
- (not necessarily contiguous).
- No preemphasis is necessary because the excitation now has a flat spectrum



## Closed Phase Analysis of /i/ from 'bee'

$s(n)$

$e(n)=u_{g}{ }^{\prime}(n)$

$u_{g}(n)$
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## Alternative Parameter Sets

- The vocal tract filter is defined by $p+1$ parameters:

$$
V(z)=\frac{G}{1-\sum_{k=1}^{p} a_{k} z^{-k}}
$$

- The LPC (or AR) coefficients $a_{k}$ have some bad properties:
- The frequency response is very sensitive to small changes in $a_{k}$
- (such as quantizing errors in coding)
- There is no easy way to verify that the filter is stable
- Interpolating between the parameters that correspond to two different filters will not vary the frequency response smoothly from one to the other: stability is not even guaranteed.
- There are several alternative parameter sets that are equivalent to the $a_{k}$
- most require $G$ to be specified as well
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## Pole Positions

- We can factorize the denominator of $V(z)$ to give its poles:

$$
1-\sum_{k=1}^{p} a_{k} z^{-k}=\prod_{k=1}^{p}\left(1-x_{k} z^{-1}\right)
$$

- The polynomial roots $x_{k}$ are either real or occur in complex conjugate pairs. $\left|x_{k}\right|$ must be $<1$ for stability
- Factorizing polynomials is computationally expensive
- The frequency response is sensitive to pole position errors near $|z|=1$.


## Reflection Coefficients

- Any all-pole filter is equivalent to a tube with $p$ sections: this is characterised by $p$ reflection coefficients (assuming $r_{0}=1$ )
- We can convert between the reflection coefficients and the polynomial coefficients by using the formulae given earlier in the course
- Properties:
- An all-pole filter is stable iff the corresponding reflection coefficients all lie between -1 and +1 .
- Interpolating between two of reflection coefficient sets will give a smoothly changing frequency response.
- High coefficient sensitivity near $\pm 1$.
- The negative reflection coefficients are sometimes called the PARCOR coefficients (PARCOR = partial correlation)


## Log Area Ratios

- Log area ratios are derived from the lossless tube model

$$
g_{i}=\log \left(\frac{A_{i+1}}{A_{i}}\right)=\log \left(\frac{1+r_{i}}{1-r_{i}}\right) \quad \Leftrightarrow \quad r_{i}=\frac{e^{g_{i}}-1}{e^{g_{i}}+1}=\tanh \left(g_{i}\right)
$$

- Stability is guaranteed for any values of $g_{i}$.
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## PART 3

- In this lecture, we at more alternative sets of LPC coefficients and their applications
- Cepstral Coefficients
- Relation to pole positions
- Relation to LPC filter coefficients
- Line Spectrum Frequencies
- Relation to pole positions and to formant frequencies
- Summary of LPC parameter sets


## Cepstral Coefficients

- Most speech recognisers describe the spectrum of speech sounds using cepstral coefficients
- good at discriminating between different phonemes
- fairly independent of each other
- have approximately Gaussian distributions for a particular phoneme.
- Cepstrum is defined as inverse fourier transform of log spectrum
- (periodic spectrum $\Rightarrow$ discrete cepstrum)

$$
c_{n}=\frac{1}{2 \pi} \int_{\omega=-\pi}^{+\pi} \log \left(V\left(e^{j \omega}\right)\right) e^{j \omega n} d \omega
$$

- Can be computed either from roots of the prediction filter polynomial
- Can be computed alternatively from the coefficients of the prediction filter polynomial.


## Computation from Roots $x_{k}$

- Define the cepstral coefficients $c_{n}$ in terms of

$$
C(z)=\sum_{n=-\infty}^{+\infty} c_{n} z^{-n} \Rightarrow c_{n}=\frac{1}{2 \pi} \int_{\omega=-\pi}^{+\pi} C\left(e^{j \omega}\right) e^{j \omega n} d \omega
$$

- This is the standard inverse z-transform derived by taking the inverse Fourier transform of both sides of the first equation.
- By equating the Fourier transforms of the two expressions for $c_{n}$, we get

$$
\begin{aligned}
C(z) & =\log (V(z)) \\
& =\log \left(\frac{G}{A(z)}\right)=\log (G)-\log (A(z)) \\
& \text { where } \quad A(z)=1-\sum_{k=1}^{p} a_{k} z^{-k}=\prod_{k=1}^{p}\left(1-x_{k} z^{-1}\right)
\end{aligned}
$$
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- Next, using the Taylor series $\log (1-y)=-\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{y^{n}}{n}$ for $|y|<1$
- By collecting all the terms in $z^{-n}$,
$C(z)=\log (G)-\log (A(z))$
$=\log (G)-\sum_{k=1}^{p} \log \left(1-x_{k} z^{-1}\right)$
$=\log (G)+\sum_{k=1}^{p} \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{x_{k}^{n}}{n} z^{-n}$ we obtain $c_{n}$ in terms of $x_{k}$ :
- Because $\left|x_{k}\right|<1$ the $c_{n}$ decrease exponentially with $n$.



## Computation from Coefficients $a_{k}$

- Differentiating $C(z)=\log (G)-\log (A(z))$ with respect to $z$ :

$$
\begin{aligned}
C^{\prime}(z)=\frac{-A^{\prime}(z)}{A(z)} & \Rightarrow A(z) C^{\prime}(z)=-A^{\prime}(z) \\
& \Rightarrow A(z) z C^{\prime}(z)=-z A^{\prime}(z)
\end{aligned}
$$

- Gives

$$
\begin{aligned}
&\left(1-\sum_{k=1}^{p} a_{k} z^{-k}\right)\left(z \sum_{m=0}^{\infty}-m c_{m} z^{-(m+1)}\right)=-z \sum_{n=1}^{p}+n a_{n} z^{-(n+1)} \\
& \Rightarrow\left(1-\sum_{k=1}^{p} a_{k} z^{-k}\right)\left(\sum_{m=1}^{\infty} m c_{m} z^{-m}\right)=\sum_{n=1}^{p} n a_{n} z^{-n} \\
& \Rightarrow \quad \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} n c_{n} z^{-n}-\sum_{k=1}^{p} \sum_{m=1}^{\infty} m c_{m} a_{k} z^{-(m+k)}=\sum_{n=1}^{p} n a_{n} z^{-n}
\end{aligned}
$$

- Replacing $m$ by $n-k$ (to make the $z$ exponent uniform) gives:

$$
\Rightarrow \quad \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} n c_{n} z^{-n}=\sum_{n=1}^{p} n a_{n} z^{-n}+\sum_{k=1}^{p} \sum_{n=k+1}^{\infty}(n-k) c_{(n-k)} a_{k} z^{-n}
$$
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- Now take the coefficient of $z^{-n}$ in the above equation noting that $n \geq k+1 \Rightarrow k \leq n-1$

$$
\begin{aligned}
& n c_{n}=n a_{n}+\sum_{k=1}^{\min (p, n-1)}(n-k) c_{(n-k)} a_{k} \\
& \Rightarrow \quad c_{n}=a_{n}+\frac{1}{n} \sum_{k=1}^{\min (p, n-1)}(n-k) c_{(n-k)} a_{k}
\end{aligned}
$$

- Thus we have a recurrence relation to calculate the $c_{n}$ from the $a_{k}$ coefficients

$$
c_{n}=a_{n}+\frac{1}{n} \sum_{k=1}^{\min (p, n-1)}(n-k) c_{(n-k)} a_{k}
$$

- From which

$$
\begin{aligned}
& c_{1}=a_{1} \\
& c_{2}=a_{2}+\frac{1}{2} c_{1} a_{1} \\
& c_{3}=a_{3}+\frac{1}{3}\left(2 c_{2} a_{1}+c_{1} a_{2}\right) \\
& c_{4}=a_{4}+\frac{1}{4}\left(3 c_{3} a_{1}+2 c_{2} a_{2}+c_{1} a_{3}\right) \\
& c_{5}=\cdots
\end{aligned}
$$
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- These coefficients are called the complex cepstrum coefficients
- even though they are real
- The cepstrum coefficients use $\log |V|$ instead of $\log (V)$
- half as big, except for $c_{0}$
- Note the cute names:
- spectrum $\rightarrow$ cepstrum ; frequency $\rightarrow$ quefrency ; filter $\rightarrow$ lifter ; etc
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## Line Spectrum Frequencies (LSF)

- Consider $A(z)=G \times V^{-1}(z)=1-\sum_{j=1}^{p} a_{j} z^{-j}=1-a_{1} z^{-1}-a_{2} z^{-2}-\ldots-a_{p} z^{-p}$
- We can form symmetric and antisymmetric polynomials:

$$
\begin{aligned}
P(z) & =A(z)+z^{-(p+1)} A^{*}\left(z^{*-1}\right) \\
& =1-\left(a_{1}+a_{p}\right) z^{-1}-\left(a_{2}+a_{p-1}\right) z^{-2}-\ldots-\left(a_{p}+a_{1}\right) z^{-p}+z^{-(p+1)} \\
Q(z) & =A(z)-z^{-(p+1)} A^{*}\left(z^{*-1}\right) \\
& =1-\left(a_{1}-a_{p}\right) z^{-1}-\left(a_{2}-a_{p-1}\right) z^{-2}-\ldots-\left(a_{p}-a_{1}\right) z^{-p}-z^{-(p+1)}
\end{aligned}
$$

- $\quad V(z)$ is stable if and only if the roots of $P(z)$ and $Q(z)$ all lie on the unit circle and they are interleaved.

Example
Poles:


LSFs:


- If the roots of $P(z)$ are at $\exp \left(2 \pi j f_{i}\right)$ for $i=1,3, \ldots$ and the roots of $Q(z)$ are at $\exp \left(2 \pi j f_{i}\right)$ for $i=0,2, \ldots$ with $f_{i+1}>f_{i} \geq 0$
- then the LSF frequencies are defined as $f_{1}, f_{2}, \ldots, f_{p}$.
- Note that it is always true that $f_{0}=+1$ and $f_{p+1}=-1$
E.g.

$$
\begin{aligned}
A(z) & =1-0.7 z^{-1}+0.5 z^{-2} & & P(z)=1-0.2 z^{-1}-0.2 z^{-2}+z^{-3} \\
z^{-3} A^{*}\left(z^{*-1}\right) & =0.5 z^{-1}-0.7 z^{-2}+z^{-3} & & Q(z)=1-1.2 z^{-1}+1.2 z^{-2}-z^{-3}
\end{aligned}
$$
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## Proof that roots of $P(z)$ and $Q(z)$ lie on the unit circle

- Given $P(z)=0 \Leftrightarrow A(z)=-z^{-(p+1)} A^{*}\left(z^{*-1}\right) \Leftrightarrow H(z)=-1$
$Q(z)=0 \quad \Leftrightarrow \quad A(z)=+z^{-(p+1)} A^{*}\left(z^{*-1}\right) \quad \Leftrightarrow \quad H(z)=+1$
where $H(z)=\frac{A(z)}{z^{-(p+1)} A^{*}\left(z^{*-1}\right)}=z \prod_{i=1}^{p} \frac{\left(1-x_{i} z^{-1}\right)}{z^{-1}\left(1-x_{i}^{*} z\right)}=z \prod_{i=1}^{p} \frac{\left(z-x_{i}\right)}{\left(1-x_{i}^{*} z\right)}$
- here the $x_{i}$ are the roots of $A(z)=V^{-1}(z)$.
- Providing all the $x_{i}$ lie inside the unit circle, the absolute values of the terms making up $H(z)$ are either all $>1$ or else all < 1 .
- Taking | | of a typical term:

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \left|\frac{\left(z-x_{i}\right) \mid}{\left(1-x_{i}^{*} z\right) \mid}\right\rangle 1 \Leftrightarrow \quad\left|1-x_{i}^{*} z\right|<\left|z-x_{i}\right| \\
\Leftrightarrow & \left(1-x_{i}^{*} z\right)\left(1-x_{i}^{*} z\right)^{*}<\left(z-x_{i}\right)\left(z-x_{i}\right)^{*} \\
\Leftrightarrow & \left(1-x_{i}^{*} z\right)\left(1-x_{i} z^{*}\right)<\left(z-x_{i}\right)\left(z^{*}-x_{i}^{*}\right) \\
\Leftrightarrow & 1-x_{i}^{*} z-x_{i} z^{*}+x_{i} x_{i}^{*} z z^{*}<z z^{*}-x_{i}^{*} z-x_{i} z^{*}+x_{i} x_{i}^{*} \\
\Leftrightarrow & 1-x_{i} x_{i}^{*}-z z^{*}+x_{i} x_{i}^{*} z z^{*}<0 \\
\Leftrightarrow & \left(1-\left|x_{i}\right|^{2}\right)\left(1-|z|^{2}\right)<0 \quad \Leftrightarrow \quad|z|>1 \quad \text { since each }\left|x_{i}\right|<1
\end{aligned}
$$

- Thus each term is greater or less than 1 according to whether $|z|>1$ or $|z|<1$
- Hence $|H(z)|=1$ if and only if $|z|=1$ and so the roots of $P(z)$ and $Q(z)$ must lie on the unit circle.
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## Proof that the roots of $P(z)$ and $Q(z)$ are interleaved

- We want to find the values of $z=e^{j \omega}$ that make $H(z)= \pm 1$ or equivalently that make $\arg (H(z))=$ a multiple of $\pi$.
- If $z=e^{j \omega}$ then

$$
\begin{aligned}
\arg \left(H\left(e^{j \omega}\right)\right) & =\arg \left(e^{j(1-p) \omega} \prod_{i=1}^{p} \frac{\left(e^{j \omega}-x_{i}\right)}{\left(e^{-j \omega}-x_{i}^{*}\right)}\right) \\
& =(1-p) \omega+\sum_{i=1}^{p}\left(\arg \left(e^{j \omega}-x_{i}\right)-\arg \left(e^{-j \omega}-x_{i}^{*}\right)\right) \\
& =(1-p) \omega+2 \sum_{i=1}^{p} \arg \left(e^{j \omega}-x_{i}\right)
\end{aligned}
$$

- As $\omega$ goes from 0 to $2 \pi$, $\arg (z-a)$ changes monotonically by $+2 \pi$ if $|a|<1$
- Therefore as $\omega$ goes from 0 to $2 \pi$, $\arg \left(H\left(e^{j \omega}\right)\right)$ increases by

$$
(1-p) \times 2 \pi+2 p \times 2 \pi=(1+p) \times 2 \pi
$$

- Since $H\left(\mathrm{e}^{j \omega}\right)$ goes round the unit circle $(1+p)$ times, it must pass through each of the points +1 and -1 alternately $(1+p)$ times


- $\arg (H(z))$ varies most rapidly when $z$ is near one of the $x_{i}$ so the LSF frequencies will cluster near the formants


## Summary of LPC parameter sets

- Filter Coefficients: $a_{i}$
- Stability check difficult; Sensitive to errors; Cannot interpolate
- Pole Positions: $x_{i}$
+ Stability check easy; Can interpolate but unordered.
- Hard to calculate; Sensitive to errors near $\left|x_{i}\right|=1$
- Reflection Coefficients: $r_{i}$
+ Stability check easy; Can interpolate
- Sensitive to errors near $\pm 1$
- Log Area Ratios: $g_{i}$
+ Stability guaranteed; Can interpolate
- Cepstral Coefficients : $c_{i}$
+ Good for speech recognition
- Stability check difficult
- Line Spectrum Frequencies: $f_{i}$
+ Stability check easy; Can interpolate; Vary smoothly in time; Strongly correlated $\Rightarrow$ better coding; Related to spectral peaks (formants).
- Awkward to calculate
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