# AUTHENTICATION (II)

Authentication of People Security Handshakes

### Authentication of People

- What you know Password
- What you have Authentication token
- What you are Biometrics

#### Passwords

- Vulnerable to dictionary attack
- Vulnerable to eavesdropping
- Typical password information is 2 bits per character
- Would need 32 characters to be ≡ 64-bit key
- Enforced password change has limited value

#### Protection against dictionary attack

- Efficient attack would hash complete dictionary and compare to contents of store of hashed passwords
- Protect by associating random number ("salt") with user
- Store hash (password salt)

Trojan Horse Password Attack	Protection against Trojan Horse
<ul> <li>Attacker leaves rogue program running on machine which displays login prompt</li> <li>When user name / password are entered program terminates (in a non-suspicious way)</li> <li>Valid user name / password pairs are collected</li> </ul>	<ul> <li>Design real login prompt with different protocol to general data input</li> <li>Design screen protocol to prevent login emulation</li> <li>Precede real login with program interrupt command (e.g. Ctrl – Alt – Del in Windows)</li> </ul>
<ul> <li>Authentication Tokens</li> <li>Traditional keys <ul> <li>easy to reproduce</li> </ul> </li> <li>Magnetic stripe cards <ul> <li>more information but easy to copy</li> <li>offline authentication by hash (key PIN)</li> </ul> </li> <li>Smart cards <ul> <li>difficult to copy</li> </ul> </li> </ul>	Smart Cards • PIN protected memory card • Cryptographic challenge / response cards • Cryptographic calculators
<ul> <li>- difficult to copy</li> <li>- capable of security conversation with reader</li> </ul>	

## **Biometric Devices**

- Retinal Scanner
- Fingerprints
- Face recognition
- Iris Scanner
- Handprints
- Voiceprints
- Keystroke timing
- Signatures

#### **Issues with Biometric Devices**

- User objections
- Probability false acceptance/false rejection
- False rejection may be reduced at expense of higher false acceptance

# Security Handshakes

- Login
- Data Integrity/Encryption
- Mediated Authentication

#### **Reflection Attack** ľm A, R<sub>2</sub> True $\mathsf{R}_{1},\,f\,(\mathsf{K}_{AB}^{},\,\mathsf{R}_{2}^{})$ В handshake f (K<sub>AB</sub>, R<sub>1</sub>) ľm A, R<sub>2</sub> Attack $R_1$ , f( $K_{AB}$ , $R_2$ ) С В first stage I'm A, R<sub>1</sub> Attack second stage c $R_3$ , f( $K_{AB}$ , $R_1$ ) В

#### Protection against reflection attack

- A could authenticate B using a different shared key from which B authenticates A
- A could use a different type of challenge to that used by B (e.g. A could use even numbers and B could use odd)

# Protection against password guessing

C could impersonate A and obtain an R , f (K\_{AB} R ) with which it could do a search to find K  $\,$  . Protection by adding extra message to handshake



Public Keys



 $f_{\mbox{A}}(.) \ \mbox{and} \ \ f_{\mbox{B}}(.) \ \ \mbox{are encryptions using public keys of A and B respectively}$ 

# Timestamps



Integrity/Encryption of Data <ul> <li>Shared secret</li> </ul>	Shared Secret for session key establishment • Form session key from K <sub>AB</sub> and R
<ul> <li>Public keys</li> </ul>	• e.g. f (K <sub>AB</sub> +1 R )
• One – way public key	• should not use f ( $K_{AB}$ R ) or f ( $K_{AB}$ R + 1)
Public key exchange for session key establishment	One – Way Public key for Session Key Establishment
<ul> <li>A chooses random number and encrypts with B's public key – vulnerable to impersonation</li> </ul>	<ul> <li>A sends random number R encrypted under B's public key</li> </ul>
<ul> <li>As above but signed with A's private key</li> <li>A and B both choose random numbers R<sub>1</sub> and R and exchange encrypted under</li> </ul>	<ul> <li>Diffie-Hellman key exchange signed in only one direction</li> </ul>

- A and B both choose random numbers R<sub>1</sub> and R<sub>2</sub> and exchange encrypted under each other's public keys. Session key is R<sub>1</sub> + R<sub>2</sub>
- Signed Diffie-Hellman key exchange

### Mediated Authentication Needham-Schroeder

N 1, A requires secure communications with B KDC	
$E_{K_A}(N_1, B, K_{AB} \text{ ticket to } B)$ provides $K_{AB}$	
A ticket, E KAB(N2)	В
E κ <sub>AB</sub> N2-1, N3)	
Е қ <sub>АВ</sub> (N <sub>3</sub> -1)	